

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/553,132	10/14/2005	Raymond Hesline	HESL0101PUSA 1661	
22045 BROOKS KUS	7590 08/06/2007 SHMAN P.C		EXAMINER	
1000 TOWN C	ENTER		CHAPEL, DEREK S	
TWENTY-SECOND FLOOR SOUTHFIELD, MI 48075			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
5551	,,,,,,,		2872	
	·			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/06/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office A.A. a. Occurrence	10/553,132	HESLINE, RAYMOND			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Derek S. Chapel	2872			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on 7/27/07, 5/31/07 &amp; 11/20/06.</li> <li>This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> </ol>					
Disposition of Claims					
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 13-20 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 13-20 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 14 October 2005 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ■ All b) ■ Some * c) ■ None of:  1. ■ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ■ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ■ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/553,132 Page 2

Art Unit: 2872

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Status Of Claims

1. This Office Action is in response to an amendment received 7/27/2007 in which Applicant lists claims 1-12 as being cancelled, claim 13 as being currently amended and claims 14-20 as being previously presented. It is interpreted by the examiner that claims 13-20 are pending.

## Claim Objections

2. The amendments to the claims dated 7/27/2007 are accepted. The objections to the claims cited in the office action mailed 8/15/2006 are hereby withdrawn.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Art Unit: 2872

- 5. Claims 13-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zhang et al., U.S. Patent Number 7,050,671, of record (hereafter Zhang) in view of Cai, United States Patent 6,529,326 B2 (hereafter Cai).
- 6. As to claim 13, Zhang discloses an optical device (see figure 10) comprising a first combination of birefringent prisms with parallel optic axes (see figure 10, elements 911 and 912) for dividing an input beam into polarized beams (see column 19, lines 27-67, column 20, lines 1-6 and column 21, lines 1-34), a second combination of birefringent prisms with parallel optic axes (see figure 10, elements 920A and 920D) for combining polarized beams into an output beam (see where the two polarized beams travel through birefringent prism 920A toward the etalon and where the two polarized beams travel through birefringent prism 920D toward the etalon), and a polarization changer disposed between said first combination of birefringent prisms and said second combination of birefringent prisms (see the half-waveplates and Faraday rotators that are part of elements 911, 912 and 920A-D of figure 10).

Zhang does not specifically disclose that the birefringent prisms are wedge shaped.

However Cai teaches birefringent prisms that are wedge shaped (see figure 3, elements 340 and 342 as well as column 5, lines 8-10 of Cai).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the optical device of Zhang to include the teachings of Cai so that the birefringent prisms are wedge shaped for the purpose of better separating the exiting light beams.

Application/Control Number: 10/553,132

Art Unit: 2872

7. As to claim 14, Zhang in view of Cai disclose a third combination of birefringent wedges (see figure 10, elements 920B and 920C of Zhang) with parallel optic axes disposed between said polarization changer and said second combination of birefringent wedges (see figure 10 of Zhang; it is noted that 920B and 920C are spatially between the pairs of birefringent wedges and the polarization changers).

Page 4

- 8. As to claim 15, Zhang in view of Cai disclose that wedges of at least one combination of birefringent prisms are arranged about at least one reflector or refractor (see the etalons and polarizing beam splitters (930 and 931) in figure 10 of Zhang).
- 9. As to claim 16, Zhang in view of Cai disclose that wedges of at least one combination of birefringent prisms are arranged about a polarization changer (see the polarizing beam splitters (930 and 931) and the waveplates and Faraday rotators of figure 10 of Zhang).
- 10. As to claims 17 and 19, Zhang in view of Cai disclose that at least one polarization changer of said device is a nonreciprocal polarization changer (see the Faraday rotators in figure 10 of Zhang; it is noted by the examiner that the device of Zhang in view of Cai meets the structural limitations of claims 13, 17 and 19 and therefore fits the label of an "optical isolator" or "optical circulator" as set forth by the Applicant's limitations).
- 11. As to claims 18 and 20, Zhang in view of Cai disclose that at least one polarization changer of said device is a reciprocal polarization changer (see the waveplates in figure 10 of Zhang; it is noted by the examiner that the device of Zhang in

Art Unit: 2872

view of Cai meets the structural limitations of claims 13, 18 and 20 and therefore fits the label of an "optical attenuator" as set forth by the Applicant's limitations).

## Response to Arguments

12. Applicant's arguments, see pages 4 and 5, filed 11/20/2006, with respect to the rejections of the claims in view of Zhang have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Zhang in view of Cai.

### Conclusion

13. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/553,132

Art Unit: 2872

. . . . . . . . .

Page 6

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Derek S. Chapel whose telephone number is 571-272-8042. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephone B. Allen can be reached on 571-272-2434. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.